UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,988	03/05/2007	Ulrich Pegelow	H05842 (13744*16)	6441
45847 7590 09/30/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 2207		SCOTT, ANGELA C		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/587,988	PEGELOW ET AL.		
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Angela C. Scott	1796		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>			
(1) Angela C. Scott.	(3)			
(2) <u>Mark Freeman</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 28 September 2009	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Freeman indicated that a continuation application was filed on September 25, 2009, but that the fee for the extension of time in the instant case was not paid. Therefore, Mr. Freeman indicated that he will file a petition to revive the current case only to pay the extention of time fee in order to achieve copendency with the continuation application. An Office action will be forthcoming for formalities to clearly indicate that the case has been considered ABN by the Office.				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		